RespectAbility is pleased to submit the following comments regarding the current draft of the State of Minnesota’s Combined State Plan as required under Section 102 of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA). We are pleased to have this opportunity to offer our comments, raise our questions, and provide our suggestions about the content of Minnesota’s state plan.

While your state has made strides with the leadership of Governor Dayton, Minnesota can still do even better in terms of competitive, integrated employment for people with disabilities. Today Minnesota has the opportunity to work hard to improve outcomes in terms of competitive, integrated employment for people with disabilities. According to Census data from 2014, Minnesota ranks 6th in the country in terms of their employment rate of people with disabilities. However, only 44.4 percent of peoples with disabilities were employed in 2014.

Minnesota has done well in the past for employing peoples with disabilities, and Minnesota has many of the ingredients essential to sustained success. However, the state plan needs to include more in the way of disability outreach to key businesses, and growing sectors if Minnesota wishes to employ more peoples with disabilities. Minnesota has much to learn from other states that have worked hard to achieve improved employment outcomes. Other states have higher than 50% employment rates for their citizens with disabilities. States like the Dakotas, Alaska, and Wyoming have achieved increase results by putting best practices into places. The experience of these states shows ways that Minnesota can dramatically improve their outcomes. Likewise, we are also seeing pockets of excellence around innovative youth programs designed to address disability employment in Georgia, Nevada, and Kentucky.

To help the states succeed in this process we developed a resource called the Disability Employment First Planning Tool. This document details best practices and effective models. This toolkit contains models that are proven to work, be cost effective to implement, and be successful. We have developed an extensive collection of data on disability and employment in Minnesota. That information is attached to our comments.

Our public comments on Minnesota’s WIOA State Plan are structured around those points where greater clarity, precision, and data are needed to ensure that people with disabilities will be better equipped to pursue the American Dream. We focus on such points because your State Plan already does an incredible job addressing issues such as the accessibility of the workforce system, collaborating on pre-employment transition services, or building partnerships between workforce boards and vocational rehabilitation. Where further improvements can be made are in those areas where the disability lens will add further refinements to your Combined State Plan. Our comments below are intended to help Minnesota sustain the good work you have already done and help you build a better future for Minnesotans with disabilities.
1. **Busting stigmas, myths, and misconceptions must be a key part of Minnesota overall workforce strategy:**

   Low expectations and misconceptions are critical barriers to employment for people with disabilities. A Princeton study shows that while people with disabilities are seen as warm, they are not seen as competent. Similarly, a study published by Cornell Hospitality Quarterly found that companies share a concern that people with disabilities cannot adequately do the work required of their employees. We therefore recommend that the Minnesotan’s State Plan be amended to include a comprehensive proactive communications/public relations strategy for reducing such stigmas. Indeed, we know that other groups of Minnesotans with barriers to work also face stigmas, especially those leaving the corrections system.

   One of the necessary first steps is to have key state leaders who understood the value that employees with disabilities possess and who know how to communicate the business imperative for hiring diverse talent. Two great examples of how this work can be done come you’re your neighbors in Wisconsin and Michigan. In Wisconsin, Governor Walker has actively championed the growth of Project Search and conducted regular site visits to model employers. In Michigan, Lieutenant Governor Caley and Justice Bernstein have lead what they call “Hidden Talent Tours” that showcase the benefits to the bottom line that come from hiring people with disabilities. Both of the example offer valuable insights in work that can be done in Minnesota.

   In order to ensure that such efforts have the biggest possible impact, it needs to be supported by a serious, systematic and ongoing communications campaign that highlights the benefits of inclusive hiring. Indeed, the best way to fight stigmas is to let employers see the facts from other employers who are already succeeding by hiring people with disabilities. In terms of potential employer partners, we encourage your state plan to look at the Disability Equality Index that assesses the inclusion and hiring efforts of major employers. It was put together by the United States Business Leadership Network (USBLN) which operates a network of affiliates across the country that can be an incredible resource for your work. The companies which scored 100% in the USBLN index can be a great resource. These are Ameren Corporation, AT&T, Booz Allen Hamilton Inc., Capital One Financial Corporation, Comcast, NBC Universal, Ernst & Young LLP, Florida Blue, Freddie Mac, Highmark Health, JPMorgan Chase & Co., Lockheed Martin Corporation, Northrop Grumman Corporation, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC), Procter & Gamble, Qualcomm Incorporated, Sprint Corporation, Starbucks Coffee Company, and TD Bank N.A.

   Beyond such name brand companies, it is also important to showcase Minnesota-based companies that can make great partners in this process. Specifically, 3M because of their involvement in the Minnesota Business Leadership Network and Pitney Bowes for their ongoing collaborations with VR are both key partners in Minnesota.

   Polls and focus groups show that there are three types of messages and audiences that are needed to expand employment for people with disabilities. Serious communications campaigns are needed for all three:

   **A. CEOs/business leaders need to understand the value proposition/business case for their specific company as to why they should focus on putting people with disabilities into their talent pipelines.** This is best done through business-to-business success stories. While there are few Stephen Hawking — with or without disabilities — people with disabilities can work highly successfully in hotels, healthcare, tend our parks and facilities, assist aging seniors, and be super talents in developing computer software and engineering solutions. CEOs and business leaders need to know that people
with disabilities can be the BEST people to get a job done. A component of this type of outreach is the importance of celebrating good work done well. A key example of what we have in mind is the Annual Awards given out by the Minnesota State Council on Disability. Such awards bring much needed media recognition and public visibility to key employer partners. We hope that this award series will continue in the years ahead.

**B. Human resources professionals and on-the-ground supervisors need to understand that hiring people with disabilities is generally easy and inexpensive, and that any costs incurred are more than offset from increased loyalty.** Hiring managers and supervisors are key implementers who can turn high minded policy and business goals into action at the ground level. However, studies show that many of them are afraid of what they don’t know about people with disabilities. They are afraid of potential legal action, costs, or other failures. For them, they need supports that will empower to overcome their own fears and to excel at recruiting, hiring, supervising or working with teammates with disabilities. Minnesota’s VR staff and community agencies can fully support human resources professionals and managers in dealing with their own specific fears and stigmas surrounding hiring people with disabilities. Moreover, online and in-person training is readily available to help from a variety of sources. RespectAbility has online webinars, as does ASKJAN.org, USDOL and others. Partners like the Poses Family Foundation Workplace Initiative can provide training to the workforce staff and volunteers systems-wide as well as to community agencies in supporting companies through messaging efforts around related to fear and stigma. The National Organization on Disability and the U.S. Business Leadership Network offer strong resources.

**C. People with disabilities and their families need high expectations. From the time of diagnosis, education for high expectations must begin.** Minnesota’s efforts need to be supported by a PR campaign that will inspire Minnesotans with disabilities to reach for the stars. For example, Virgin Airways founder Sir Richard Branson and finance wizard Charles Schwab are also dyslexic. Scientist Stephen Hawking and multi-billionaire businessman Sheldon Adelson, like Gov. Greg Abbott of Texas and President Franklin D. Roosevelt before them, are wheelchair/mobility device users. The CEO of Wynn Casinos, Steve Wynn, is legally blind. Arthur Young, co-founder of the giant EY (formerly Ernst & Young) was deaf. Success sells success and that is something the workforce system should seriously utilize in order to motivate and inspire in an intentional manner moving forward.

As example of the power and the value of making the business care for hiring people with disabilities, we offer Illinois our insights gained from our #RespectTheAbility campaign. Our #RespectTheAbility effort is a social media campaign focused on how hiring people with disabilities can make organizations stronger and more successful. The campaign highlights the benefits to employers that look beyond the disability and imagine the possibility when hiring talented employees with disabilities. Our profiles of diverse employers such as EY, AT&T, and Kwik Trip offer insight in how to implement such a multilayered approach.

It is also critical that Minnesota’s VR staff and community agencies be prepared to support companies in dealing with their specific fears and stigmas. Partners like the Poses Family Foundation Workplace Initiative can provide training to Minnesota’s Rehabilitation Service staff as well as community agencies in supporting Minnesota companies through messaging efforts around related to fear and stigma.
2. **Strong Sector Strategies- The need for strategic alignment of workforce development and economic development to expand employment for people with disabilities:**

   As required by WIOA Sec. 102(b)(1)(A) Minnesota’s state plan must and does include a detailed analysis of the economic sectors of the state economy that are growing and are forecasted to grow in the future. The success of WIOA depends on being an employer driven paradigm shift. **Expanding opportunities for people with barriers to employment such as disability requires strong partnerships with employers in those sectors which are rapidly expanding.**

   Economic, Workforce, and Workforce Development Activities Analysis of Minnesota’s State Plan contains the greatest detail of any state plan that we have reviewed.

   In terms of thinking about employment for people with disabilities, we suggest focusing on the economic forecasts. We suggest focusing on long term growth sectors as outlined on Table 10 and Figure 9 as they both offer useful information. Specifically, it will be vital to train and prepare Minnesotans with disabilities to pursue careers in the fields which are most likely to grow in the immediate future. We would submit that the talents of people with disabilities are an untapped resource that can be channel into these emerging “In-Demand Industries.” This can be accomplished by developing sector specific strategies. Below, we offer our specific ideas where we see the most potential:

   **A. Health and Elder Care**

   The State Plan projects extensive job growth in the fields of “Healthcare Practitioners & Technical” as shown on Figure 9 which will need 32,450 replacement workers and 26,417 for employment growth in the sector. **People with disabilities can and should be part of the solution to this critical demand in the labor market.** To quote a 2014 report from the Office of Disability Employment Policy (ODEP), “[people with disabilities] not only represent an untapped talent pool, but also offer significant value and insight” in the field of healthcare. Indeed, it is important for healthcare institutions to reflect their customers, and people with disabilities interface more with the healthcare system. There are numerous examples of young people with disabilities doing incredible work in the fields of healthcare, elder care, and in assisted living. Employers working in health and elder care can greatly benefit from the loyalty, dedication, and retention rates of employees with disabilities.

   While it is briefly elsewhere in the plan on page 186, Project Search should be significantly expanded as they have done in Wisconsin, Florida and other states. Nationally, each year approximately 2,700 such young people, spread out in 45 states, do a nine-month, school-to-work program that takes place entirely at the workplace. This innovative, business-led model features total workplace immersion, which facilitates a seamless combination of classroom instruction, career exploration, and worksite-based training and support. Project Search already exists in Minnesota at five sites as listed on page 187. These Project Search sites overall have been achieving outstanding results for people with disabilities, employers, and taxpayers alike. For example, the first longitudinal study of the program found “a 68% success rate in transitioning students from high school into competitive employment” and “Project SEARCH sites in Upstate New York that have an impressive 83% success rate overall.” The goal for each program participant is competitive employment.

   As Minnesota looks for ways to expand Project Search, we highly encourage you to learn from your neighbors in Wisconsin. The experiences of the dedicated state officials, VR counselors, workforce professionals, and special educators who have increased Wisconsin’s
Project Search programs offer profound insights in the steps necessary to make rapid expansion a reality. In the long term investments in Project Search and other such programs will save big money for taxpayers while also strengthening the talent pool for employers.

B. Science, Tech, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) and The Autism Advantage

As has been documented in many cases, there can be an “Autism Advantage” in the STEM space. Indeed, some people on the Autism spectrum can have the very best skills in science, math and engineering. Microsoft, SAP, and Specialisterne have committed themselves to “provide employment opportunities for people on the autism spectrum in roles such as software testers, programmers, system administrators, and data quality assurance specialists.” The Israeli Defense Forces recruits and trains their citizens on the Autism spectrum for work in their elite intelligence unit.

Delaware’s Governor Jack Markell is partnering with companies to employ more people on the Autism spectrum in STEM jobs. Such examples need to be implemented by Minnesota as well. This issue of STEM and access for student with disabilities is a natural point of partnership between the workforce system and the educational system. That work needs to start young, be matched with high expectations for success, and designed to ensure people with disabilities have the chance to become future scientists, engineers, doctors and mathematicians.

Ensuring the Accessibility of Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) Programs and Careers for People with Disabilities, especially Students with IEPs, is vital. As Carol Glazer of the National Organization on Disabilities wrote in Huffington Post, “America is already lagging when it comes to STEM-skilled workers. The U.S. will have more than 1.2 million job openings in STEM fields by 2018.” Governors in other states have looked at STEM needs and begun to develop solutions. For example, in New York State Governor Cuomo has ensured that magnet schools for STEM are located near IBM, a major STEM employer in their state. Michigan should follow this model.

C. High Turnover Jobs: Accommodations/hotels, Distribution/supply chain/Retail

Millions of dollars are lost each year due to employee turnover. For all jobs earning less than $50,000 per year, the average cost of replacing one employee is between $6,000 and $20,000. Research shows that employees with disabilities, when their interests and abilities are aligned with the needs of employers, are more productive and loyal than their non-disabled peers. Company records show that even when the relatively more expensive accommodations were factored in, the overall costs of disability accommodations were far outweighed by the low turnover rates and better tenures of the employees with disabilities.

A great example of an employment sector where employees with disabilities can be tremendously successful is the hospitality industry. Accommodations and food service are extremely high turnover jobs and numerous studies show that people with disabilities can be outstanding in those fields and have significantly higher employer loyalty.

An outstanding example of the type of work needed is found in Missouri. As part of the Poses Family Foundation’s Workplace Initiative, a coalition of employment service providers has launched a successful training and placement program with the hospitality sector in St. Louis. This training runs for up to 12 weeks, and takes place on site at the hotel; all participants are paid by the hotel for the duration of training. Since the summer of 2015, two cohorts of trainees have completed training at the Hyatt Regency. Trainees have gone on to permanent employment at the Hyatt and other hotel partners in a range of departments—culinary; auditing;
and customer service. This type of training and Poses’ Workplace Initiative could easily be part of your overall Sector Strategies.

Likewise, in other states, hotels and other hospitality employers have found Project SEARCH to be an amazing source of talent. The work done by Embassy Suites and David Scott in Omaha, Nebraska offers valuable lessons that can enable Minnesota to improve employment outcomes for people with disabilities. Minnesota’s state Board, along with other components of the workforce system, should connect with employers in the hospitality sector to begin figuring out how to benefit from these models.

**Another sector with high turnover and big potential are distribution/supply chains.** Many companies, including UPS, Wal-Mart, and OfficeMax have proven records of success. Walgreens has demonstrated that workers with disabilities in their distribution centers are as productive, safer, and turn over less when compared to peers without disabilities. These efforts have taken the logistics sector by storm with Lowe's, OfficeMax, Pepsi, as well as P&G are all launching their own successful disability hiring initiatives. For example, as reported by the National Organization on Disability, “Lowe’s hired more than 150 new workers with disabilities in the first year, and an additional 250 workers in the following 18-month period.” They can be outstanding partners for disability employment as these industries suffer from high turnover rates and employees with disabilities are proven to have significantly higher retention rates. It is important to identify more specific opportunities with employers and to site them inside the plan, as well as the criteria by which to continue and to expand such partnerships in the future. **Another great example is Starbucks.** Their “Inclusion Academy” has rapidly grown from just a pilot program in Carson Valley, NJ to a complete program at their largest roasting plant.

3. **Ensure that the best data points, including the Labor Force Participation Rates of people with disabilities v. those without disabilities, are used performance metrics:**

   As we expressed in our introduction, it is our view that Minnesota has many of the most essential ingredients needed to for achieving greater outcomes and higher employment rates for people with disabilities. Sustaining this success will very much depend on making sure Minnesota’s leaders have access to the right data. **It is vital that the workforce system and the State Board include the labor force participation rates of people with disabilities on their state dashboards and performance metrics.** Looking solely at unemployment information, decision makers are missing the bigger picture of those individuals with barriers to employment who are not actively seeking work.

   As such we want to extend our deep compliments on the level of detail devoted in Minnesota’s Combined State Plan to the issue of labor force participation rates (LFPR) for people with disabilities. As required by Section 102(b)(1)(B) of WIOA, each state plan must discuss “individuals with barriers to employment, including individuals with disabilities.” Minnesota does so with a great degree of detail and attention. **The data presented on page 11 in Table 4 will be essential as Minnesota’s state leaders move forward with WIOA.** We hope that this data will be used to develop and implement the performance metrics needed to guide resource investment and workforce programs.

   We do, however, have one caveat to add. Precision is essential when using statistics to advance policy proposals. As such, we hope this section of Minnesota’s Combined State Plan be revised to include the distinction between working age and retirement age people with disabilities. Further, it is also critical to include data on youth with disabilities, specifically those
between 16 and 20. Those are crucial years where investing in work experiences can empower them to pursue a lifetime of work and independence.

4. **Section 503 of the Rehabilitation Act and Federal Contractors offer Michigan the chance to innovate, collaborate, and expand opportunity:**

   While there is a great deal of data and detail in the current draft of Minnesota’s Combined State Plan, there is also a significant omission. **Specifically, the State Plan lacks any references to the employment opportunities and talent challenges created by the Section 503 regulations and federal contractors.** **Minnesota’s Plan does not discuss at all the new 7% utilization goal set for companies to recruit, hire, and retain qualified individuals with disabilities in all job categories.**

   **Minneapolis needs to respond to the opportunity created by Section 503 with a strategy focused on competitive advantage, not just compliance.** These regulations and requirements entail far more than just new rules for businesses to play by. **Section 503 is an opportunity that could potentially have a broad impact on the employer engagement work of the entire workforce system.** The companies who must comply with Section 503 have an opportunity to teach companies not impacted by the regulations how to effectively employ, engage, and retain workers or customers with disabilities. Specific companies that should be included in your outreach efforts include BAE Systems PLC, Alliant Techsystems Inc., General Mills Inc., Cargill Inc., and Starkey Laboratories Inc. [More detailed information regarding federal contracts in Minnesota can be found here.]

5. **The Minnesota Business Leadership Network (MN-BLN) should be a key partner for Minnesota’s employer engagement efforts:**

   Improving employment outcomes in Minnesota very much depends on being an employer driven paradigm shift. As we mentioned above, it is vital to emphasize the business case for hiring people with disabilities again and again for a simple reason. Government action alone—even through vocational rehabilitation— is insufficient to improve employment outcomes for people with disabilities. The necessary condition for achieving greater competitive, integrated employment for individuals with disabilities is engaging employers and meeting their needs.

   As such we are disappointed to see that no attention was given to one of your state’s most important assets in terms of making the business case for hiring people with disabilities. **The Minnesota Business Leadership Network (MN-BLN) is an affiliate chapter of the national BLN, and we are disappointed to see that it is not yet at the WIOA table.** The MN-BLN should be a critical partner to the overall employer engagement efforts. They can persuasively make the business-to-business case for hiring employees with disabilities. As such, moving forward, we hope your workforce system will take fully advantage of this potential partnership.

6. **Beware “Order of Selection” and Utilize a “Jackie Robinson Strategy”:**

   The workforce system only gets one chance with new employers to make a good impression. By law, policy, and custom, the system looks to serve people with the most significant disabilities first. However, if they are not the right match for the employer, it will only undermine our long term goals. Thus it is vital to also use a “Jackie Robinson Strategy” to make sure that the right talent gets into the right positions so it is win-win for the employer and worker alike. As the first African-American to play major league baseball, Robinson tore down decades of discrimination and blazed a trail for other talented and diverse athletes to follow. He
was the talent that his employer needed, and contributed to the bottom line. Because, stigma and misconception remain a critical obstacle and one that Minnesota’s workforce system needs to focus on, sometimes the best way to help people with more significant disabilities in the long term is to start with new employers by placing talent with fewer disabilities first. Once they understand accommodations and how to maximize the use of talent, they will be ready to more broadly open their employment “tents”.

7. **Overcome the gap between disability services and career services in Post-Secondary Education:**

    *There is a fundamental disconnect in most post-secondary education programs between disability services and careers.* This is not a new issue and it is one that other organizations have raised in the past. However, with the priorities put into place by the implementation of WIOA, there is a historic opportunity to bridge this gap and to improve career and technical education for young people with and without differences. As formulated by the National Organization on Disability, at most educational institutions, “the career services office, which assists students in preparing for” the workforce “lack a strong—or any—connection to the office of disabled student services, which ensures proper accessibility and accommodations on campus for students with disabilities.”

    The result is a price we pay as a society is twofold. First, it costs employers who are unable to find qualified job candidates. Second, it costs students with disabilities who may be able to graduate with a degree thanks to accommodations but will go on to struggle to succeed in the working world. Nationally, there are 1.3 million young Americans ages 16-20 with disabilities. They have high expectations to go into the workforce but currently only 53% of college graduates with disabilities are employed as opposed to 84% of graduates with no disability. In total, only about 7% of people with disabilities will earn a college degree and less than half of the 2.3 million with a degree are employed.

    **An opportunity clearly exists within the current draft of Minnesota’s Combined State Plan.** On page 179, the Plan discusses how state services will, “Through consultation and technical assistance, assist local educational agencies (LEAs) in planning for the transition of students with disabilities from school to post-school activities, including VR.”

    **This is a critical opportunity and we are encouraged to see what comes of these efforts.** As the university staff and VR counselors work together, we hope they will overcome the gap that we discussed above. It is critical that they efforts be directly to improving and supporting the ultimate success of students with disabilities.

    **Due to the mandate created by Section 503 of the Rehabilitation Act, federal contractors now have a utilization goal to make sure that 7% of their employees across all job groups be qualified people with disabilities.** This regulation is actually a huge opportunity because companies are actively looking to hire recent graduates with disabilities. The first place for new recruits is college and campus recruiting. Minnesota has the chance to demonstrate to business that students with disabilities are capable and that companies should be actively targeting those with disabilities just as they do all other diversity recruiting.

    While the statistics cited above are national ones, they have bearing on the work that needs to be done through your community college system. Beyond just WIOA, community colleges are uniquely positioned to innovate in order to expand opportunity. Community colleges are very closely connected to the working world and the specific training requirements of employers. As you look to triangulate between employer needs, skills training, and recruiting
workers; you will do well to remember the importance of getting students with disabilities connected to career services and prepared for the workplace early.

8. **Ensure that Apprenticeship Programs are Fully Accessible and Actively Recruiting Young People with Disabilities, Especially with Government Contractors**

   We are pleased that Minnesota is looking to expand the vital opportunity that apprenticeship training can offer to young people with disabilities. At the federal level, the Office of Disability Employment Policy has worked hard to generate resources which can open up these exciting programs to “youth and young adults with a full range of disabilities.” The regulations related to apprenticeship which have recently come out of the Department of Labor provide states the flexibility they need to refine and design training programs that maximally inclusive of people with diverse talents. **We encourage you to invest time and energy to understand the best practices contained in ODEP’s apprenticeship toolkit.** Lastly, in other states, we have found that no connections exist between state VR staff and the federal program officers responsible for the administration of apprenticeship resources. **As such, we highly recommend that the VR professionals in your state connect directly with the DOL ETA officers working on Apprenticeship in Minnesota.** This is natural partnership that could easily align workforce needs and the talents of people with disabilities.

9. **Prioritize pre-employment training and expand partnerships with VR**

   We would like the State of Minnesota to consider expanding Project Search sites. **Project Search has demonstrated a high degree of efficiency and boasts a 73 percent employment rate for all participants in 2013-14 at the prevailing wage or above.** As much as we would encourage your state to follow Florida and Wisconsin’s example by working hard to expand the number of Project SEARCH sites, this is not the only paradigm that you should follow. The school system, vocational rehabilitation, and local workforce boards can build creative, collaborative partnerships with companies that are leading in the disability space.

   **As you embark on your new strong collaborations, we encourage you to explore proven programs such as Amazon, UPS, and Pepsi.** The global logistics companies UPS, at one of their busiest facilities, there is a training program dedicated to preparing youth with disabilities to succeed. Taking place in Louisville, KY, **The Transitional Learning Center is the result of a partnership between an employer, the school system, and vocational rehabilitation.** Pre-training programs are great because the offer the opportunity to train youth with disabilities in the soft skills they need to succeed and provide them with a foundation of work experience.

   Minnesota should also look at other models of innovation that are showing great potential to fundamentally improve employment outcomes. To begin with, we would highly recommend that Minnesota examine how to support disability employment efforts through establishment of public/private partnerships in local communities. These types of partnerships could focus on the “cluster” model, started by Poses Family Foundation that is having tremendous success in diverse states as Nevada, Georgia, and Ohio. **This model depends on “consortium of employers committed to implement or expand programs”, “a public/private partnership to coordinate services for job-seekers with disabilities, with a single point of contact for employers”, and “Connections among employers, public and private agencies, and schools to reach young adults with disabilities who are in transition from school to work.”**
10. Avoid the Opportunity Costs of Focusing Too Much on One-Stop Centers.  
**Programmatic Accessibility is Critical Important**

Public policy is about the allocation of scarce resources to meet infinite needs. It is vital to invest resources on those points where they can have the greatest effect. **One challenge that we have seen in many states WIOA plan has been the prioritization of expensive bricks and mortar One-Stops as the primary access point for programs and services under WIOA.** Focusing exhaustively on One-Stop Centers, physical infrastructure, and co-locating services comes at the opportunity cost of losing the chance to improve supports and increase outcomes.

**The workforce needs of state economies are evolving rapidly thanks to technology and globalization.** Investing excessive resources on physical locations at the expense of improving online delivery of workforce services and supports is an example of looking backwards, not forwards. Moreover, **the District of Columbia and others have successfully moved much of their one-stop services to trained staff with laptops that go to schools, hospitals, and community organizations where they are better able to serve the public.**

11. Transportation is a vital component and it must be addressed directly:

Many people with disabilities do not drive. Others cannot afford private transportation. It is vital to work with public transportation to ensure that there are bus routes to places where there are internships, apprenticeships and other work opportunities. In places where that are not possible, Minnesota could look at partnering with UBER and other new transportation solutions. **Indeed, for people with disabilities who drive, such companies as UBER can also provide a way to enter into the workforce with flexible hours.** Public sector employers and federal contractors who have 503 obligations are key places for apprenticeships and internships and onboarding of talent. It is important for them to play a key role in planning for public transportation.

12. Getting Out the Word on Free and Accessible Services and Resources:

There are many online and in person resources to help employers and people with disabilities come together to build success. However, all the stakeholders need to be educated to know that these resources exist, and that they are free and user-friendly. These resources must also all be accessible. **Also, Minnesota should be careful not to waste money trying to re-invent the wheel in creating online resources as ASKJAN.org, the US Department of Labor’s Office of Disability Employment Policy, our organization and others also offer free toolkits, webinars and training opportunities.** Another resource is Understood.org. This is a comprehensive resource to help families and individuals with learning and attention issues build their educational and career plans. It will be helpful to collaborate with those groups however to ensure that the best tools are created to fit the training and information needs on these issues.

13. Nothing About Us Without Us:

“Nothing About Us without Us” has long been a rallying cry for the one in five American who have a disability and it has implications for the workforce system. Even a non-voting member of a WIB can bring critical perspectives that improve the WIB’s efforts. **As such we recommend that your state plan look at adopting language which would include the placement of a representative from the disability community and a representative of your state’s VR system on your State Board.** Second, the State Plan could also direct local workforce boards to connect with local community organizations to recruit self-advocates to add their perspectives.
14. Aging workers and those with recently acquired disabilities must be specifically addressed in the plan:

Many people who have been in the workforce for decades find that before full retirement age they cannot keep up with the physical demands of their jobs. We are pleased that the Combined State Plan acknowledges this on page 191. In Iowa, IVRS works with a major employer, Unity Point Hospital to “re-home” employees to other jobs within the same company when good workers can no longer do physical jobs and need a new assignment. They find that Emergency Room nurses, for example, come to a point where they can no longer keep up with the physical demands of that job. They have a department that works to “re-home” talented and valued employees who either age into a disability or acquire a disability through accident or illness. Empowering youth with disabilities to enter the workforce should be your highest priority, but keeping aging workers in the workforce until retirement age is also important. This will take a specific strategy and effort so that you don’t have massive numbers of people going onto disability rolls and out of the workplace prematurely.

15. Strategic Engagement to Build a Buddy/Mentor System for People Customers of the Workforce System.

Government can’t and shouldn’t do everything. There is a massive role that can be played by trained and vetted volunteers who are willing to help people with barriers to work find and keep jobs. Faith-based organizations and many others can fill massive gaps. There is a terrific booklet, Clearing Obstacles to Work, put out by the Philanthropy Roundtable that is rich with potential partners and proven programs. This is a huge missed opportunity as you will see in the booklet we just mentioned above. It’s all about teaching people to fish (helping them get and keep a job) rather than just giving them fish.

It is also important to note in terms of the SNAP and TANF programs that too many faith-based programs focus on giving out food without giving out the volunteer support to help people sustain themselves through gainful employment. In looking to rethinking policies around SNAP funding, we suggest looking at the innovative efforts of the Seattle Jobs Initiative (SJI) to realign that funding into more productive, employment outcomes.

16. The disability issues of people involved in the corrections system must be addressed:

There are several points where the current draft of Minnesota’s Combined State Plan addresses the unique workforce challenges facing ex-offenders as they attempt to reenter society. For example, page 62 discusses the “Over the past several years, DEED has designed, implemented and managed highly successful ex-offender employment and training programs in collaboration with the Minnesota Department of Corrections”, We are very happy with the attention given to ex-offenders in the Combined State Plan. These instances show that Minnesota’s workforce system is aligning to improve outcomes for people transitioning out of prison and back into society. However, these efforts need to be viewed through the lens of disability. The reason why is simple.

According to recently published data from the Bureau of Justice Statistics, “An estimated 32% of prisoners and 40% of jail inmates reported having at least one disability.” This issue is a serious one and it needs to be addressed at the state level. Frequently people are involved in the criminal justice system because they have disability issues, including learning differences, ADHD, executive function, and mental health issues that went undiagnosed and/or unaddressed
through childhood and into the school years. Given these statistics from the BJS, it is vital that your state identify how many of the individuals in the ex-offender pipeline have disabilities. Serving ex-offenders is a critical workforce development challenge and one that can only increase when disability is a factor and it is not addressed appropriately. The price paid for ignoring this issue are higher rates of recidivism and greater costs to society. Assessment tools are needed to identify disability issues as people enter the prison system. Doing so creates opportunities to address those issues productively. If people in the corrections system who will be released eventually are to be well served by Minnesota’s workforce system, then it is vital that disability issues be identified and addressed in a way that will help work successfully in the future.

**Conclusion:**

As stated at the beginning of our Public Comments, Minnesota has already achieved much in terms of jobs for people with disabilities. Thanks to WIOA, Minnesota has an opportunity to invest resources in successful models and implement best practices to expand job opportunities for people with disabilities. Our public comments are focus on several critical factors that can help Minnesota to sustain the employment outcomes you have achieve and move forward towards a better future.

The bottom line is that expanding job opportunities for people with disabilities is win-win-win for employers, taxpayers and people with disabilities alike. It is good for employers because the loyalty, talent, and skills of workers with disabilities contribute to the employers’ bottom line. It is good for the workforce system because improving services and supports for job seeker with disabilities will benefit others with different barriers to employment. It is good for people with disabilities who want the dignity, pride, friendships, independence and income that work provides. We are happy to answer any questions you have and to help in any way.
We have included a chart below which looks at the gap in workforce participation between those with and without disabilities nationally over time. As seen in the chart, as women and minorities have been able to make significant strides in joining the workforce, people with disabilities have not. We know that by maximizing the potential of Minnesota’s WIOA plan that all of this can change for the better for the people of our state.

*Chart 1 – The gap nationally in workforce participation rates between people with disabilities and their non-disabled peers.*

![Chart illustrating the gap in workforce participation rates between people with and without disabilities]

Source for chart is the Disabilities Compendium.

Below are two data tables that provide detailed information ranking the states in terms of employment rates for people with disabilities as well as the employment gap between people with and without disabilities. This has been added to show you where Minnesota ranks nationally and to showcase several of the data points needed in Minnesota’s WIOA State Plan.
Table 1 Ranking 50 States by Employment Rates and Employment Gap

Data Source- Column 1: Table 2.1: Employment—Citizens with Disabilities Ages 18 to 64 Years Living in the Community for the United States and States: 2013 from the Annual Disability Statistics Compendium

Data Source-Column 2: Table 2.9: Employment Gap—Citizens Ages 18 to 64 Years Living in the Community for the United States and States, by Disability Status: 2013 from the Annual Disability Statistics Compendium

Link: [http://disabilitycompendium.org/compendium-statistics/employment](http://disabilitycompendium.org/compendium-statistics/employment)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 1</th>
<th>Column 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ranking of States by Employment Rate of People with Disabilities</td>
<td>Ranking of States by the Employment Gap between People with disabilities and people without disabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>North Dakota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Wyoming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>South Dakota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Alaska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Nebraska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Iowa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Utah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Kansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Connecticut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Montana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Nevada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Hawaii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Idaho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>New Jersey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Delaware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Illinois</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>New Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2
From 2012 to 2013, the employment gap closed by one percentage point or more in 22 states. The top four states with the greatest reductions (AK, RI, WY, and NH) were small states—with working-age populations under one million persons. It is hard to make comments about small states, because these statistics are estimates based on state-level samples. Smaller states have smaller samples and thus have a higher degree of year-to-year variability. I am hesitant to read too much into reductions and expansions in the employment gap for small states.

Looking at large states—with working-age populations over 5 million persons—Illinois (a 2.3 percentage point reduction) and New Jersey (a 1 percentage point reduction) stand out. These are two large industrial states.

All of the states that experienced reductions greater that one percentage point also experienced increases in employment rate of people with disabilities, so none of these reductions were due a reduction in the employment rate of people without disabilities.

The state that really stands out is South Carolina, with a 2.3 point reduction, while also having a 1.3 point increase in the employment rate of people without disabilities. The big question is whether we can attribute success, like the success in South Carolina to changes in policy or new innovative approaches to employing people with disabilities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AK</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>-9.9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>459,776</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>-6.3</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>668,448</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WY</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>78.5</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>-5.9</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>358,526</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>-4.1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>842,880</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN</td>
<td><strong>42.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>81.6</strong></td>
<td><strong>39.6</strong></td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td><strong>82.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>36.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>-3.5</strong></td>
<td>46</td>
<td>3,357,171</td>
<td>21</td>
<td><strong>3.9</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.5</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1,719,885</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>3,544,103</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2,893,842</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1,243,353</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>8,010,771</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1,868,852</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UT</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1,701,705</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>565,138</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3,304,940</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>10th</td>
<td>25th</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>75th</td>
<td>90th</td>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Working -age populati on under 1 million</td>
<td>Working -age populati on over 5 million</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Under 1 million</td>
<td>Between 5-1 million</td>
<td>Over 5 million</td>
<td>Working-age pop. under 1 million</td>
<td>Working-age pop. over 5 million</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>under 1 million</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1,759,900</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1,759,900</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>501,769</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Working-age pop. under 1 million</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-3.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>